arXiv:q-alg/9611019v1 18 Nov 1996

TOPICS IN HIDDEN SYMMETRIES. IV.

Denis V. Juriev

"Thalassa Aitheria" Research Center for Mathematical Physics and Informatics,
ul.Miklukho-Maklaya 20-180, Moscow 117437 Russia.
E-mail: denis@juriev.msk.ru

November, 15, 1996
E-version: q-alg/yymmxxx

Abstract. This note being devoted to some aspects of the inverse problem of representation theory explicates the links between researches on the Sklyanin algebras and the author's (based on the noncommutative geometry) approach to the setting free of hidden symmetries in terms of "the quantization of constants".

Namely, the Racah–Wigner algebra for the Sklyanin algebra is constructed. It may be considered as a result of the quantization of constants in the Racah–Wigner algebra for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$. If the Sklyanin algebra is interpreted as an algebra of anomalous spins then the Racah–Wigner algebra for it may be regarded as an enlargement of the Sklyanin algebra by operators of the anomalous spin–spin interaction (of tensor type).

The Racah–Wigner algebra for the Sklyanin algebra is an example of the noncommutative weighted shift operator algebras (NWSO–algebras), which generalize the mho–algebras introduced by the author earlier.

This paper being a continuation of the previous three parts [1-3] as illustrates the general ideology presented in the review [4] as explicates its new features. The subject is new links between a research activity on the Sklyanin algebras [5,6] (see also [7]) and the author's (based on the noncommutative geometry) approach to the setting free of hidden symmetries [3:§1] (see also [8,1]) in terms of "the quantization of constants" [4:§3;3]. More concretely, we construct the Racah–Wigner algebra for the Sklyanin algebra, which may be considered also as a result of the quantization of constants in the Racah–Wigner algebra for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3,\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$.

Formally, the Racah–Wigner algebra for the Sklyanin algebra is one of examples of the noncommutative weighted shift operator algebras (NWSO–algebras), which generalize the mho–algebras introduced by the author earlier [1:§2] (see also [3:§1.4]).

Because the Sklyanin algebra is a very interesting object, deeply related to many algebraic structures (see e.g.[9,10]), and the Racah–Wigner algebra for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ [8;11:§2.2] (see also [3:§§1,2;2] and cf.[12:§1.2]) is not less intriguing, their "hybrid" will be undoubtly of a certain value.

TOPIC EIGHT: THE RACAH–WIGNER ALGEBRA FOR THE SKLYANIN ALGEBRA

1. Setting hidden symmetries free by the noncommutative Veronese mapping and the Racah–Wigner algebra $\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$ for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$.

Definition 1 [8] (see also [1:§1;4:§1.1]).

(A) Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a lie algebra and $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative algebra such that $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{A})$; a linear subspace V of $\mathcal{A}$ is called *a space of hidden symmetries* iff (1) V is a $\mathfrak{g}$–submodule of $\mathcal{A}$, (2) the Weyl symmetrization defines a surjection $W : S^{\cdot} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ (the elements of V are called *hidden symmetries with respect to* $\mathfrak{g}$). An associative algebra $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{F})$ is called *an algebra of the set free hidden symmetries* iff (1) $\mathcal{F}$ is generated by V, (2) there exists a $\mathfrak{g}$–equivariant epimorphism of algebras $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$, (3) the weyl symmetrization defines an isomorphism $S^{\cdot}(V) \mapsto \mathcal{F}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$–modules.

(B) Let V be a space of hidden symmetries and $\mathbf{C}$ be some class of associative Ore algebras; the hidden symmetries from V are called $\mathbf{C}$–regular iff the algebras of fractions $D(\mathcal{F})$ are isomorphic for all those corresponding to V algebras $\mathcal{F}$ of the set free hidden symmetries from the class $\mathbf{C}$.

(C) Let V be a space of hidden symmetries in algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$; a subspace V_0 of V is called *a coordinate base* of V iff (1) V_0 is a $\mathfrak{g}$–submodule of V, (2) the image of the Weyl symmetrization mapping $W_0 : S^{\cdot}(V_0) \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ contains V. Hidden symmetries from V are called *of type* (V_0, n) iff the image of $\oplus_{i \leq n} S^i(V_0)$ under the weyl symmetrization mapping W_0 coincides with V; in this case the mapping $\oplus_{i \leq n} S^i(V_0) \mapsto \mathcal{F}$, a composition of W_0 and the imbedding of V into $\mathcal{F}$, is called *the noncommutative Veronese mapping*.

(D) Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra, V be a certain $\mathfrak{g}$–module, $\mathcal{A}_s$ be a family of associative algberas, parametrized by $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{A}_s)$, and $\pi_s : V \mapsto \mathcal{A}_s$ be a family of $\mathfrak{g}$–equivariant imbeddings such that $\pi_s(V)$ is a space of hidden symmetries in $\mathcal{A}_s$ with respect to $\mathfrak{g}$ for a generic s from $\mathcal{S}$. An associative algebra $\mathcal{F}$ is called *an algebra of the $\mathcal{A}_{s,s\in\mathcal{S}}$–universally set free hidden symmetries* iff $\mathcal{F}$ is an algebra of the set free hidden symmetries corresponding to $V \simeq \pi_s(V)$ for generic $\mathcal{A}_s$ $(s \in \mathcal{S})$. The hidden symmetries are called *$\mathcal{A}_{s,s\in\mathcal{S}}$–universally $\mathbf{C}$–regular* iff the algebras of fractions $D(\mathcal{F})$ are isomorphic for all algebras $\mathcal{F}$ of the $\mathcal{A}_{s,s\in\mathcal{S}}$–universally set free hidden symmetries from $\mathbf{C}$.

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra and $\mathcal{A}$ is an associative algebra such that $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{A})$, and V_0 is a $\mathfrak{g}$–submodule of $\mathcal{A}$, whose elements generate $\mathcal{A}$ as an algebra, then in many interesting cases there exists a space of hidden symmetries V of type (V_0, n) in $\mathcal{A}$ for a sufficiently large n.

Definition 2 [2] (cf. also [1:§2;4:§1.4]).

(A) Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra and π be its (irreducible) representation. *Mho–algebra* $\mho(\mathfrak{g}, \pi)$ is an associative algebra such that (1) $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a subalgebra of $\mho(\mathfrak{g}, \pi)$ and, hence, $\mathfrak{g}$ naturally acts in $\mho(\mathfrak{g}, \pi)$, (2) there is defined a $\mathfrak{g}$–equivariant embedding of π into $\mho(\mathfrak{g}, \pi)$, so π may be considered as a subspace of $\mho(\mathfrak{g}, \pi)$, (3) the $\mathfrak{g}$–equivariant embedding of π into $\mho(\mathfrak{g}, \pi)$ is extended to a $\mathfrak{g}$–equivariant embedding of $S^{\cdot}(\pi)$ into $\mho(\mathfrak{g}, \pi)$, defined by the Weyl symmetrization, and, therefore, $S^{\cdot}(\pi)$ may be considered as a subspace of $\mho(\mathfrak{g}, \pi)$; (4) $\mathfrak{g}$–modules $\mho(\mathfrak{g}, \pi)$ and $S^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes S^{\cdot}(\pi)$ are isomorphic, here the isomorphism of subalgebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the algebra $\mho(\mathfrak{g}, \pi)$ and $S^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{g})$ as $\mathfrak{g}$–modules is used; (5) in an arbitrary basis w_l in π the commutator of two elements of the basis in the algebra $\mho(\mathfrak{g},\pi)$ may be represented in the form $[w_i,w_j]=f_{ij}^k w_k$, where the "noncommutative structural functions" f_{ij}^k are the elements of the algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$.

(B) Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra and π be its (irreducible) representation. *Affine mho–algebra* $\hat{\mho}(\mathfrak{g},\pi)$ is an associative algebra such that the conditions (1)–(4) above hold and (5') in an arbitrary basis w_l in π the commutator of two elements of the basis in the algebra $\hat{\mho}(\mathfrak{g},\pi)$ may be represented in the form $[w_i,w_j]=f_{ij}^k w_k+g_{ij}$, where the "noncommutative structural functions" f_{ij}^k and g_{ij} are the elements of the algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Theorem 1.

(A) *[8] (see also [1:§1]) The tensor operators of type π_1 and π_2 in the Verma module V_h [h is the weight] over the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ [π_i is a finite–dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ of dimension $2i+1$] form a space of hidden symmetries of type $(\pi_1,2)$; the quadratic (nonhomogeneous) algebras of the* $\mathrm{End}(V_h)$*–universally set free hidden symmetries form an one–parametric family $\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C});\alpha)$.*

(B) *[1:§2] (see also [4:§1.4]) The Racah–Wigner algebra $\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))=\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C});0)$ is a mho–algebra over $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$.*

(C) *[8] (see also [1:§1]) All the algebras of fractions $D(\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C});\alpha))$ are isomorphic [hence, the tensor operators of type π_i ($i=1,2$) form a* $\mathrm{End}(V_h)$*–universally quadratic–regular scope of hidden symmetries].*

(D) *[11:§2.2] The Racah–Wigner algebra $\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$ admits a quadratic central extension $\widehat{\mathcal{RW}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$, which may be considered also as a $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$–equivariant quadratic deformation of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$, which is trivial on subalgebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$.*

(E) *[2] The central extension $\widehat{\mathcal{RW}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$ of the Racah–Wigner algebra $\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$ is an affine mho–algebra over $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$.*

(F) *[8] (see also [1:§1]) The central extension $\widehat{\mathcal{RW}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$ of $\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$ may be continued to the central extensions $\widehat{\mathcal{RW}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C});\alpha)$ of $\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C});\alpha)$ in the class of quadratic (nonhomogeneous) algebras.*

The explicit formulas for the commutation relations in the algebras $\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$, $\widehat{\mathcal{RW}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$, $\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C});\alpha)$, $\widehat{\mathcal{RW}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C});\alpha)$ were written in [11:§2.2;7] (see also [4:§1.1]; a misprint in two commutators in [11:§2.2] was corrected in [8]).

Let's consider a "compact" real form of the Racah–Wigner algebra $\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$, which will be denoted by $\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{so}(3))$. The compactness means that the algebra $\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{so}(3))$ is a mho–algebra over $\mathfrak{so}(3)$.

Theorem 2. *The Racah–Wigner algebra $\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{so}(3))$ is generated by eight generators s_i, $t_{ij}=t_{ji}$ ($i,j=1,2,3$, $t_{11}+t_{22}+t_{33}=0$) with commutation relations*

$$\begin{aligned}
[s_i,s_j]&=\epsilon_{ijk}s_k,\quad [s_i,t_{jk}]=\epsilon_{ijl}t_{lk}+\epsilon_{ikl}t_{lk},\\
[t_{ij},t_{kl}]&=\epsilon_{ikm}(s_jt_{ml}+s_lt_{jm})+\epsilon_{ilm}(s_jt_{mk}+s_kt_{jm})\\
&\quad+\epsilon_{jkm}(s_it_{ml}+s_lt_{im})+\epsilon_{jlm}(s_it_{mk}+s_kt_{im}),
\end{aligned}$$

where ϵ_{ijk} is a totally antisymmetric tensor.

Remark 1. Note that one may use the left, the right or the Weyl ordering of the r.h.s. of commutation relations between t_{ij}, there are no differences between them.

Remark 2. The relations of the Theorem 2 between s_i and t_{jk} may be considered as classical, they define correct Poisson brackets.

2. The quantization of constants and the Sklyanin algebra.

Under *the quantization of constants* one means the change of certain numerical constant in the commutation relations to the matrix (operator) quantities. An attempt of rigorous definition of the procedure was made in [3:§1].

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ is realized as a Lie algebra of all skew–symmetric 3×3–matrices. Let's fix a basis

$$e_1=\begin{pmatrix}0&1&0\\-1&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix},\quad e_2=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&1\\0&-1&0\end{pmatrix},\quad e_3=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&1\\0&0&0\\-1&0&0\end{pmatrix}$$

in the algebra. The commutation relations in such basis are of the form

$$[e_i,e_j]=\epsilon_{ijk}e_k,$$

where ϵ_{ijk} is a totally antisymmetric tensor.

Theorem 3 [4:§3.1]**.** *For any triple of independent skew–symmetric* 3×3*–matrices* S_i *(*$i=1,2,3$*) there exists only one symmetric* 3×3*–matrix* Q *such that*

$$[S_i,S_j]=\epsilon_{ijk}(QS_k+S_kQ),$$

where ϵ_{ijk} *is a totally antisymmetric tensor. Moreover,*

$$[Q,S_i]=F_i(S_1,S_2,S_3),$$

where F_i *are uniquely defined quadratic forms of* S_1*,* S_2*,* S_3*. If matrices* S_i *are written in the form*

$$S_1=\begin{pmatrix}0&\alpha&0\\-\alpha&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix},\quad S_2=\begin{pmatrix}0&\beta&\gamma\\-\beta&0&0\\-\gamma&0&0\end{pmatrix},\quad S_3=\begin{pmatrix}0&\delta&\varepsilon\\-\delta&0&\zeta\\-\varepsilon&-\zeta&0\end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$Q=\begin{pmatrix}u&x&y\\x&v&z\\y&z&w\end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$x=\frac{\alpha^2\varepsilon+\beta^2\varepsilon-\beta\gamma\delta}{\alpha\gamma},\quad y=\frac{\beta\varepsilon-\gamma\delta}{\alpha},\quad z=\frac{\beta\zeta}{\alpha},$$

$$u+v=-\frac{\gamma\zeta}{\alpha},\quad u+w=-\tfrac{\zeta(\alpha^2+\beta^2)}{\alpha\gamma},\quad v+w=\tfrac{2\beta\gamma\delta\varepsilon-\alpha^2\varepsilon^2-\beta^2\varepsilon^2-\alpha^2\gamma^2-\varepsilon^2\gamma^2}{\alpha\gamma\zeta}.$$

Matrices S_i*,* Q *realize a representation of a quadratic algebra, which naturally coincides with the Sklyanin algebra [5,6] if* $\mathrm{tr}(S_iS_j)=0$ *for* $i\neq j$*. In the case of the Sklyanin algebra* $F_i(S_1,S_2,S_3)=\epsilon_{ijk}J_{jk}(S_jS_k+S_kS_j)$ *(*$J_{12}+J_{23}+J_{31}+J_{12}J_{23}J_{31}=0$*).*

Remark 3. Q is nondegenerate. $\mathrm{tr}(S_iQS_j+S_jQS_i)=0$ if $i\neq j$ (i.e. S_i are Q–orthogonal).

Remark 4. (A) Let Q be an arbitrary nondegenerate symmetric matrix. Put $p(Q)A = QA + AQ$. If S_1 and S_2 are two skew–symmetric Q–orthogonal matrices, then $S_3 = p(Q)^{-1}([S_1, S_2])$ is Q–orthogonal to both S_1 and S_2. (B) If Q is a nondegenerate symmetric 3×3–matrix and S_1, S_2 be two Q–orthogonal skew–symmetric 3×3–matrices, then there exist three multiplies λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3 such that $\lambda_1 S_1$, $\lambda_2 S_2$, $\lambda_3 p(Q)^{-1}([S_1, S_2])$ and Q generate the algebra of the theorem 3.

Remark 5. The algebra of the theorem 3 is generally isomorphic to the Sklyanin algebra (this is a manifestation of the fact that any symmetric matrix may be written in a diagonal form).

3. The Racah–Wigner algebra for the Sklyanin algebra.

Definition 3.

(A) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative algebra generated by the subspace V so that the Weyl symmetrization mapping $W : S^{\cdot}(V) \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ is a bijection. *A noncommutative weighted shift operator algebra (NWSO–algebra)* over $\mathcal{A}$ is an associative algebra $\mathcal{F}$ generated by $\hat{V}$ such that (1) the Weyl symmetrization mapping $\hat{W} : \S^{\cdot}(\hat{V}) \mapsto \mathcal{F}$ is a bijection, (2) the subspace $\hat{V}$ may be decomposed into the direct sum $V \oplus U$, where the elements of V generate a subalgebra isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}$, (3) there exist a mapping $R : U \otimes V \mapsto \mathcal{A} \otimes U$ ($R(t \otimes s) = \sum_i \varrho_1^{(i)}(s,t) \otimes \varrho_2^{(i)}(s,t)$) such that $st = \sum_i \varrho_1^{(i)}(s,t)\varrho_2^{(i)}(s,t)$, (4) there exists a mapping $T : \Lambda^2(U) \mapsto \mathcal{A} \otimes U$ ($T(t_1 \wedge t_2) = \sum_i \tau_1^{(i)}(t_1,t_2) \otimes \tau_2^{(i)}(t_1,t_2)$) such that $[t_1, t_2] = \sum_i \tau_1^{(i)}(t_1,t_2)\tau_2^{(i)}(t_1,t_2)$.

(B) Let $\mathcal{A}$, V be the same data as in (A). *An affine noncommutative weighted shift operator algebra (affine NWSO–algebra)* over $\mathcal{A}$ is an associative algebra $\mathcal{F}$ generated by $\hat{V}$ such that the conditions (1)-(3) above hold, and (4') there exist two mappings $T : \Lambda^2(U) \mapsto \mathcal{A} \otimes U$ ($T(t_1 \wedge t_2) = \sum_i \tau_1^{(i)}(t_1,t_2) \otimes \tau_2^{(i)}(t_1,t_2)$) and $S : \Lambda^2(U) \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ ($S(t_1 \wedge t_2) = \sigma(t_1,t_2)$) such that $[t_1, t_2] = \sum_i \tau_1^{(i)}(t_1,t_2)\tau_2^{(i)}(t_1,t_2) + \sigma(t_1,t_2)$.

Definition 3 define objects, which are generalizations of mho–algebras and affine mho–algebras of Definition 2. Note that Definitions 1-3 allows a slight generalization in terms of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt algebras [10:App.1].

Lemma 1. *Let the Sklyanin algebra be realized as in the theorem 3. Then there exist six symmetric Q–orthogonal matrices $T_{ij} = T_{ji}$ ($i,j = 1,2,3$) such that $[S_i, T_{jk}] = \epsilon_{ijl}P(Q)T_{lk} + \epsilon_{ikl}P(Q)T_{jl}$ (ϵ_{ijk} is a totally antisymmetric tensor), moreover, Q is proportional to $T_{11} + T_{22} + T_{33}$. The matrices T_{ij} ($i \neq j$) and $T_{ii} - T_{jj}$ are uniquely defined up to a common multiple.*

Lemma 2. *Let the Sklyanin algebra be generated by the elements S_i ($i = 1,2,3$), Q. Let T_{ij} be the formal variables, the commutation relations of which with S_i are of the form prescribed in Lemma 1. Then there exist a uniquely defined mapping Ξ [$\Xi(T_{ij}) = \sum_{klm} \xi_{ij}^{klm} S_k T_{lm} + T_{lm} S_k$] defined on T_{ij} ($i \neq j$) and $T_{ii} - T_{jj}$ such that if one puts $[Q, T_{ij}] = \Xi(T_{ij})$ then the Jacobi identities for triples Q, S_i, T_{jk} and S_i, S_j, T_{kl} will formally hold.*

Theorem 4. *Let the Sklaynin algebra be generated by the elements S_i ($i = 1,2,3$), Q and realized as in Theorem 3. Let T_{ij} be the formal variables realized as in Lemma 2 with the specified there commutation relations. Then there exist a unique NWSO–algebra over the Sklyanin algebra with the subspace U generated by T_{ij} ($i \neq j$) and*

$T_{ii} - T_{jj}$ compatible with the realizations of S_i, Q and T_{jk} and with the mapping T being linear on S_i.

Remark 6. The algebra of the Theorem 4 will be called the Racah–Wigner algebra for the Sklaynin algebra. It may be considered as a result of the quantization of constants in the Racah–Wigner algebra $\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{so}(3))$. On the other hand, its construction is similar to the procedure of the setting free of hidden symmetries above and may be regarded as its quantum analogue.

Remark 7. The construction of the Racah–Wigner algebra for the Sklyanin algebra has its classical counterpart in view of the remark 2.

Questions.

(1) Has the Racah–Wigner algebra for the Sklyanin algebra a central extension and one–parametric deformation, which are results of the quantization of constants in objects of the Theorem 1?

(2) Is the construction of [1:§2] (see also [4:§1.4]) applicable to the Sklyanin algebra?

(3) Does the construction of the Racah–Wigner algebra for the Sklyanin algebra admit a superanalogue (see [11:§2.3] on a superanalogue of $\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$)?

(4) What are the generalized Mickelsson and Zhelobenko algebras of the constructed Racah–Wigner algebra for the Sklyanin algebra (cf.[2:§1])?

(5) The construction above is formally inapplicable to the degenerate Sklyanin algebra [6,7] (see also [10:App.1]. It is interesting to formulate a generalization or to adapt the construction to this specific case.

(6) Does the Sklyanin algebra admit an analogue of the construction of [1:§1] (see also [4:§1.3]) of the setting free of hidden symmetries by the noncommutative semicubic mapping?

(7) What is a relation between the Racah–Wigner algebra for the Sklyanin algebra and its classical counterpart?

(8) The Racah–Wigner algebra for $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ may be constructed in lines of [4:§1.6] as generated by the operators of averaged spin and averaged spin–spin interaction. Such procedure was called by *a resynthesis of algebraic structure*. The startpoint of the procedure is $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$ to obtain $\mathcal{RW}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$. Is the resynthesis applicable to the Sklyanin algebra to receive the Racah–Wigner algebra for it? A supposition of applicability is supported by arguments based on a physical intuition, which allows to identify the Racah–Wigner algebra for the Sklyanin algebra with an enlargement of the least by operators of the anomalous spin-spin interaction (of tensor type [13:Ch.XVI,§116]) if the Sklyanin algebra is considered as an algebra of anomalous spins.

References

[1] Juriev D., Topics in hidden symmetries. I. E-print: hep-th/9405050.

[2] Juriev D., Topics in hidden symmetries. II. Report RCMPI-96/06 (October 1996) [e-version: q-alg/9610026 (1996)].

[3] Juriev D., Topics in hidden symmetries. III. Report RCMPI-96/06$^+$ (November 1996) [e-version: q-alg/9611003 (1996)].

[4] Juriev D., An excursus into the inverse problem of representation theory [in Russian]. Report RCMPI-95/04 (August 1995) [e-version: mp_arc/96-477].

[5] Sklyanin E.K., On algebraic structures related to the Yang–Baxter equation [in Russian]. Funkts. analiz i ego prilozh. 16(4) (1982) 27-34; 17(4) (1983) 34-48.

[6] Sklyanin E.K., On an algebra generated by the quadratic relations [in Russian]. Uspekhi Matem. Nauk 40(2) (1985) 214.

[7] Tarasov V.O., Takhtadzhan L.A., Faddeev L.D., Local hamiltonians for integrable quantum models on a lattice [in Russian]. Teor.Matem.Fiz. 57(2) (1983) 163-181.

[8] Juriev D., Setting hidden symmetries free by the noncommutative Veronese mapping. J.Math.Phys. 35(9) (1994) 5021-5024.

[9] Reshetikhin N.Yu., Takhtadzhan L.A., Faddeev L.D., Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras [in Russian]. Algebra i analiz 1(1) (1989) 178-206 [English transl.: St.Petersburg Math.J. 1 (1990) 193-225].

[10] Karasev M.V., Maslov V.P., Nonlinear Poisson brackets. Geometry and applications [in Russian]. Moscow, Nauka, 1991 [English transl. by the Amer.Math.Soc., R.I., 1993].

[11] Juriev D., Complex projective geometry and quantum projective field theory [in Russian]. Teor.Matem.Fiz. 101(3) (1994) 331-348 [English transl.: Theor.Math.Phys. 101 (1994) 1387-1403].

[12] Juriev D., Infinite dimensional geometry and quantum field theory of strings. III. Infinite dimensional W–geometry of a second quantized free string. J.Geom.Phys. 16 (1995) 275-300.

[13] Landau L.D., Lifschitz E.M., A course of theoretical physics. III. Quantum mechanics [in Russian]. Moscow, Fizmatlit, 1963.